arXiv:1405.5701v4 [math.CA] 17 Jan 2016

TOEPLITZ PRODUCTS ON BERGMAN SPACES OF SOME DOMAINS

BENOÎT F. SEHBA

Abstract. Assuming that one of the symbols satisfies an invariant condition, we prove that the usual Sarason condition is necessary and sufficient for the Toeplitz products to be bounded on Bergman spaces. We also characterize bounded and invertible Toeplitz products on vector weighted Bergman spaces of the unit polydisc. For our purpose, we will need the notion of Békollé-Bonami weights in one and several parameters.

1. Introduction

Let denote by $d\nu$ the normalized Lebesgue measure on the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$ of $\mathbb{C}$. For $\alpha > -1$, we denote by $d\nu_\alpha$ the normalized Lebesgue measure $d\nu_\alpha(z) = c_\alpha(1-|z|^2)^\alpha d\nu(z)$, c_α being the normalizing constant. The weighted Bergman space $A^p_\alpha(\mathbb{D})$ is the space of holomorphic functions f such that

$$||f||^p_{A^p_\alpha} := \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^p d\nu_\alpha(z) < \infty.$$

The Bergman space $A^2_\alpha(\mathbb{D})$ is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space with kernel $K^\alpha_w(z) = K^\alpha(w,z) = \frac{1}{(1-w\overline{z})^{2+\alpha}}$. That is for any $f \in A^2_\alpha(\mathbb{D})$, the following representation holds

$$f(w) = P_\alpha f(w) = \langle f, K^\alpha_w\rangle_\alpha = \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(z)K^\alpha(w,z)d\nu_\alpha(z), \quad w \in \mathbb{D}. \tag{1}$$

For $f \in L^2(\mathbb{D}, d\nu_\alpha)$, we can densely define the Toeplitz T_f with symbol f on $A^2_\alpha(\mathbb{D})$ as follows

$$T_f(g) = P_\alpha(M_f)(g) = P_\alpha(fg) \tag{2}$$

where M_f is the multiplication operator by f. The Berezin transform is the operator defined on $L^1(\mathbb{D}, d\nu_\alpha)$ by

$$B_\alpha(f)(w) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(z)|k^\alpha_w(z)|^2 dv_\alpha(z)$$

where k^α_w is the normalized reproducing kernel of $A^2_\alpha(\mathbb{D})$.

The so-called Sarason conjecture says that given two functions $f, g \in A^2_\alpha(\mathbb{D})$, the product $T_f T_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded on $A^2_\alpha(\mathbb{D})$ if and only if the following holds

$$\sup_{w\in\mathbb{D}} \left(B_\alpha(|f|^2)(w)\right)^{1/2} \left(B_\alpha(|g|^2)(w)\right)^{1/2} = \sup_{w\in\mathbb{D}} \|fk^\alpha_w\|_{2,\alpha}\|gk^\alpha_w\|_{2,\alpha} < \infty. \tag{3}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32A36, 47B35.

Key words and phrases. Bergman spaces, Reproducing kernel, Toeplitz operator, Békollé-Bonami weight.

We call (3) Sarason condition. For $\alpha = -1$, that is in the case of the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D})$, F. Nazarov has proved that the conjecture fails [14] although (3) is necessary as proved by S. Treil (see [17]). For usual Bergman spaces ($\alpha > -1$), it has been proved in [18] that condition (3) is necessary but the authors of that paper did not manage to prove that the same condition is sufficient or not (see also [8, 12, 15, 19, 20] for related discussions and other domains). We note that A. Aleman, S. Pott and M. C. Reguera recently proposed in [1] an example of $f, g \in A^2(\mathbb{D})$ such that (3) holds but the product $T_f T_{\overline{g}}$ is not bounded on $A^2(\mathbb{D})$. Nevertheless, in the case of invertible and bounded Toeplitz products, it is known that the conjecture holds. More precisely, the following has been proved in [18, 21].

THEOREM 1.1. *Given $f, g \in A^2_\alpha(\mathbb{D})$, $-1 < \alpha < \infty$, the product $T_f T_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded and invertible on $A^2_\alpha(\mathbb{D})$ if and only if*

$$\inf_{w\in\mathbb{D}} |f(w)||g(w)| > 0 \tag{4}$$

and (3) holds.

The above result was recently extended to the unit polydisc for by Z. Sun and Y. Lu in [10]. In [8], Theorem 1.1 was extended to all $A^p_\alpha(\mathbb{D})$ using among others a result of J. Miao [11] and Békollé-Bonami weights. More precisely, the author of [8] proved the following result.

THEOREM 1.2. *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$, $p = q(p-1)$ and $-1 \leq \alpha < \infty$. Given $f \in A^p_\alpha(\mathbb{D})$ and $g \in A^q_\alpha(\mathbb{D})$, the product $T_f T_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded and invertible on $A^p_\alpha(\mathbb{D})$ if and only if*

$$\inf_{w\in\mathbb{D}} |f(w)||g(w)| > 0 \tag{5}$$

and

$$\sup_{w\in\mathbb{D}} B_\alpha(|f\,(k^\alpha_w)^{1-\frac{2}{p}}|^p)(w) B_\alpha(|g\,(k^\alpha_w)^{1-\frac{2}{p}}|^p)(w) < \infty. \tag{6}$$

One question that follows after Theorem 1.2 and the result of [10] is how to extend the above results to Bergman spaces with vector weights in the polydisc $\mathbb{D}^n$, that is when $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n)$ where the α_j are not necessarily the same. The other question is to know if there are classes of symbols for which the Sarason condition (6) is necessary and sufficient for the corresponding Toeplitz product to be bounded for more general domains. We consider the last question in the setting of the upper-half plane and its generalization and prove that if one of the symbols satisfies an invariant condtion, then the Sarason condition is necessary and sufficient for the associated Toeplitz product to be bounded. This idea is suggested by the proof of Theorem 1.2 and the relation between the boundedness of Toeplitz products and the two-weight estimate of the Bergman projection. The first question is also considered using the estimate of the Bergman projection on product domains.

Before going ahead, let us introduce Bergman spaces of the upper-half plane.

The upper-half plane is the set $\mathcal{H} := \{z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C} : y > 0\}$. For $\alpha > -1$ and $1 < p < \infty$, the weighted Bergman space $A^p_\alpha(\mathcal{H})$ consists of all

analytic functions f on $\mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\|f\|_{p,\alpha}^p := \int_{\mathcal{H}} |f(x+iy)|^p y^\alpha dxdy < \infty. \tag{7}$$

The Bergman space $A_\alpha^2(\mathcal{H})$ $(-1 < \alpha < \infty)$ is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space with kernel $K_w^\alpha(z) = K^\alpha(z,w) = \frac{1}{(z-\overline{w})^{2+\alpha}}$. That is for any $f \in A_\alpha^2(\mathcal{H})$, the following representation holds

$$f(w) = P_\alpha f(w) = \langle f, K_w^\alpha \rangle_\alpha = \int_{\mathcal{H}} f(z) K^\alpha(w,z) dV_\alpha(z), \tag{8}$$

where for $dV_\alpha(x+iy) = y^\alpha dxdy$.

For $f \in L^2(\mathcal{H}, dV_\alpha)$, we can densely define the Toeplitz operator T_f with symbol f on $A_\alpha^2(\mathcal{H})$ as in (2). We recall that the normalized reproducing kernel k_w^α $(w = u+iv)$ of $A_\alpha^2(\mathcal{H})$ is given by

$$k_w^\alpha(z) := \frac{K_w^\alpha(z)}{\|K_w^\alpha\|_{2,\alpha}} = \frac{v^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{(z-\overline{w})^{2+\alpha}}.$$

It seems natural to ask if the usual characterization of bounded and invertible Toeplitz products in Theorem 1.2 holds on the upper-half plane. We make the easy observation that the answer is no. More precisely, we have the following.

PROPOSITION 1.3. *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$, $p = q(p-1)$ and $-1 < \alpha < \infty$. Given $f \in A_\alpha^p(\mathcal{H})$ and $g \in A_\alpha^q(\mathcal{H})$, assume that the product $T_f T_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded and invertible at the same time on $A_\alpha^p(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$\sup_{w \in \mathcal{D}} \|f k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} \|g k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} = \infty \tag{9}$$

or equivalently,

$$\inf_{w \in \mathbb{D}} |f(w)||g(w)| = 0. \tag{10}$$

The proof of the above proposition follows by making some easy observations: first that a kind of reproducing kernel thesis holds here. More precisely, if we write

$$u_w^\alpha = \frac{k_w^\alpha}{\|k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}}$$

and

$$v_w^\alpha = \frac{k_w^\alpha}{\|k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha}},$$

then u and v are normalizations of k_w^α in $A_\alpha^p(\mathcal{H})$ and $A_\alpha^q(\mathcal{H})$ respectively and the following necessarily hold

$$\sup_{w \in \mathcal{H}} \|T_f T_{\overline{g}} u_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} < \infty$$

and

$$\sup_{w \in \mathcal{H}} \|T_f T_{\overline{g}} v_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} < \infty.$$

The second observation is the fact that in the upper-half plane, Bergman spaces do not contain constants except zero since, the total measure is not bounded.

We do not know how to characterize bounded and invertible Toeplitz products on this setting. Our guess is that a Toeplitz product can not be bounded and invertible at the same time on the upper-half plane.

A trivial example of bounded Toeplitz products is given by taking one of the symbol to be identically zero. The following second result provides another class of bounded Toeplitz products. It essentially says that if one of the symbols satisfies an invariant condition, then the Sarason condition is necessary and sufficient for the associated Toeplitz product to be bounded.

THEOREM 1.4. *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$, $p = q(p-1)$, and $-1 < \alpha < \infty$. Suppose that f and g are analytic in $\mathcal{H}$ with*

$$[f]p, \alpha := \sup_{w\in\mathcal{H}} \|fk_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}\|f^{-1}k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} < \infty. \tag{11}$$

Then $T_fT_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded on $A^p_\alpha(\mathcal{H})$ if and only if

$$[f,g]_{p,\alpha} := \sup_{w\in\mathcal{H}} \|fk_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}\|gk_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} < \infty. \tag{12}$$

Moreover,

$$\|T_fT_{\overline{g}}\| \leq C(p)[f,g]_{p,\alpha}[f]^{\max\{p,q\}}_{p,\alpha}$$

and

$$[f,g]_{p,\alpha} \leq C(p)\|T_gT_{\overline{f}}\|[f]_{p,\alpha}.$$

Note that in the above theorem, we do not ask f and g to belong to $A^p_\alpha(\mathcal{H})$ and $A^q_\alpha(\mathcal{H})$ respectively, giving ourself the flexibility to recover the Bergman projection by taking $f = g = 1$. This assumption is also motivated by the fact that constants (except zero) are not in $A^p_\alpha(\mathcal{H})$. Thus in the case of the unit disc or the unit polydisc, we can suppose that $f \in A^p_\alpha$ and $g \in A^q_\alpha$.

For the proof of the sufficient part in Theorem 1.4, we will observe with Cruz-Uribe [4] that the boundeness of $T_fT_{\overline{g}}$ follows from the boundedness of the operator P^+_α from $L^p(\mathcal{H}_\alpha, |g(z)|^{-p}dV_\alpha(z))$ to $L^p(\mathcal{H}_\alpha, |f(z)|^p dV_\alpha(z))$,

$$P^+_\alpha f(z) = \int_{\mathcal{H}_\alpha} \frac{f(w)}{|z-\overline{w}|^{2+\alpha}} dV_\alpha(w).$$

We then deduce that $T_fT_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded on $A^p_\alpha(\mathcal{H})$ from an upper-half plane version of a result due to D. Békollé and A. Bonami (see [2, 3, 16]) that we will prove in the text.

We recall that $\mathbb{D}^n$ is the unit polydisc, where $\mathbb{D}$ is the unit disc of $\mathbb{C}$. For any two points $z = (z_1, \cdots, z_n)$ and $w = (w_1, \cdots, w_n)$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$, we write

$$\langle z, w\rangle = z_1\bar{w}_1 + \cdots + z_n\bar{w}_n,$$

and

$$|z|^2 = |z_1|^2 + \cdots + |z_n|^2.$$

For any real vector $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n)$, where each α_j satisfies $\alpha_j > -1$, in which case we write $\alpha > -\mathbf{1}$, we consider the measure on $\mathbb{D}^n$ given by

$$dv_\alpha(z) = c_\alpha \prod_{j=1}^n (1-|z_j|^2)^{\alpha_j} d\nu(z_j),$$

$c_\alpha = \prod_{j=1}^n(\alpha_j+1)$, $d\nu$ being the Lebesgue area measure on $\mathbb{D}$, normalized so that the measure of $\mathbb{D}$ is 1. Let $H(\mathbb{D}^n)$ denote the space of analytic functions

on $\mathbb{D}^n$. For $0 < p < \infty$, the (vector) weighted Bergman space $A^p_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^n)$ is the intersection $L^p(\mathbb{D}^n, dv_\alpha) \cap H(\mathbb{D}^n)$. The (unweighted) Bergman space A^p corresponds to $\alpha = (0, \cdots, 0)$. We will be writing

$$\|f\|_{p,\alpha} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}^n} |f(z)|^p dv_\alpha(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

and

$$\langle f, g \rangle_\alpha := \int_{\mathbb{D}^n} f(z) \overline{g(z)} dv_\alpha(z).$$

The Bergman space A^2_α is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space with its kernel K^α given by

$$K^\alpha(z, w) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(1 - z_j \bar{w}_j)^{\alpha_j + 2}}.$$

That is for any $f \in A^2_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^n)$, the following representation holds

$$f(w) = P_\alpha f(w) = \langle f, K^\alpha_w \rangle_\alpha = \int_{\mathbb{D}^n} f(z) K^\alpha(w, z) dv_\alpha(z). \tag{13}$$

For $f \in L^2(\mathbb{D}^n, dv_\alpha)$, we can densely define on A^2_α the Toeplitz operator T_f with symbol f as in (2).

Very recently bounded and invertible Toeplitz products $T_f T_{\overline{g}}$ on $A^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ have been characterized in [10]. We aim in this section to extend this characterization to $A^p_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^n)$, $1 < p < \infty$. More precisely, denoting k^α_w the normalized kernel in $A^2_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^n)$, we have the following generalization.

THEOREM 1.5. *Let $\alpha > -\mathbf{1} = (-1, -1, \cdots, -1)$, $1 < p < \infty$, $pq = p + q$, and assume that $f \in A^p_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^n)$ and $g \in A^q_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^n)$. Then the following assertions hold.*

(i) *If $T_f T_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded and invertible on $A^p_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^n)$, then*

$$[f, g]_{p,\alpha} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}^n} \|f k^\alpha_w\|_{p,\alpha} \|g k^\alpha_w\|_{q,\alpha} < \infty \tag{14}$$

and this is equivalent to

$$\eta := \inf_{z \in \mathbb{D}^n} |f(z)||g(z)| > 0. \tag{15}$$

(ii) *If both (14) and (15) hold, then*

$$[f]_{p,\alpha} := \|f k^\alpha_w\|_{p,\alpha} \|f^{-1} k^\alpha_w\|_{q,\alpha} < \eta^2 [f, g]^2_{p,\alpha},$$

and $T_f T_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded and invertible on $A^p_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^n)$. Moreover,

$$\|T_f T_{\overline{g}}\| \leq C \eta^{2n \times \max\{p,q\}} [f, g]^{1+2n \times \max\{p,q\}}_{2,\alpha}.$$

For the proof of the necessity in Theorem 1.5, we will adapt the proof of the necessity condition (6) for the boundedness of the Toeplitz products in [11]. For the sufficient part, we define product Békollé-Bonami weights and use the corresponding estimate of the Bergman projection of the polydisc.

In the next section, we provide some useful results needed later. Proposition 1.3 is proved in section 3 while Theorem 1.4 is considered in section 4 where we also provide a proof of the estimate of the positive Bergman projection that does not use extrapolation. The proof in [16] uses extrapolation. A generalization to the tube domain over the first octant that is

the product of upper-half planes is considered in section 5. In section 6, we characterize bounded and invertible Toeplitz products on Bergman spaces of the unit polydisc $\mathbb{D}^n$, extending the result of [10]. Some comments are made in the last section.

As usual, given two positive quantities A and B, the notation $A \lesssim B$ (resp. $A \gtrsim B$) means that $A \leq CB$ (resp. $B \leq CA$) for some absolute positive constant C. The notation $A \backsimeq B$ mean that $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$. We will use $C(p)$ to say that the constant C depends only on p.

2. Some useful tools

Given an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, the Carleson box associated to I is the subset Q_I of $\mathcal{H}$ defined by

$$Q_I := \{x + iy \in \mathcal{H} : x \in I \text{ and } 0 < y < |I|\}.$$

The center of Q_I is the point $x_I + iy_I$ such that x_I is the center of the interval I and $y_I = \frac{|I|}{2}$. We have the following.

Lemma 2.1. *Let I be a subinterval of $\mathbb{R}$ and Q_I the associated Carleson box. Then for any $w \in Q_I$,*

$$|w_I - \overline{w}| \backsimeq y_I$$

where $w_I = x_I + iy_I$ is the center of Q_I.

Proof. Let $w = x + iy$. On one side, we have

$$|w_I - \overline{w}|^2 = (x_I - x)^2 + (y_I + y)^2 > y_I^2.$$

On the other side, we have

$$|w_I - \overline{w}|^2 = (x_I - x)^2 + (y_I + y)^2 \leq 5y_I^2.$$

□

We observe that if M is the point of $\mathcal{H}$ associated to $z = x + iy$ and M_0 the point associated to $x + i0$, then if I is the interval centered at M_0 with length $2y$, then Q_I has M as center.

The following is easy to check.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $-1 < \alpha < \infty$. Then*

$$\|k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} \backsimeq y^{(\frac{2+\alpha}{2})(\frac{2}{p}-1)}, \ \ w = x + iy \in \mathcal{D}.$$

We have the following estimate.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $-1 < \alpha < \infty$. Then there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $f \in A^p_\alpha(\mathcal{H})$,*

$$|f(z)|^p \leq C\|k_z^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}^{-p}\|fk_z^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}^p, \ \ z \in \mathcal{H}. \tag{16}$$

Proof. Let $\alpha > -1$. If Q_I is centered at $z = x + iy$, then using the mean value property and the two previous lemmas we obtain that there exists a

constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)|^p &\leq \frac{C}{V_\alpha(Q_I)} \int_{Q_I} |f(w)|^p dV_\alpha(w) \\ &\backsimeq \frac{C}{y^{2+\alpha}} \int_{Q_I} |f(w)|^p dV_\alpha(w) \\ &= C \frac{y^{(\frac{2+\alpha}{2})(p-2)}}{y^{(\frac{2+\alpha}{2})p}} \int_{Q_I} |f(w)|^p dV_\alpha(w) \\ &\backsimeq C\|k_z^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}^{-p} \int_{Q_I} |f(w)k_z^\alpha|^p dV_\alpha(w) \\ &\leq C\|k_z^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}^{-p} \|fk_z^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}^p. \end{aligned}$$

□

Let ω be a positive function defined on $\mathcal{H}$ and $\alpha > -1$. We say ω is a Békollé-Bonami weight (or ω belongs to the class $B_{p,\alpha}(\mathcal{H})$) if

$$[\omega]_{B_{p,\alpha}} := \sup_{I\subset\mathbb{R},\ I \text{ interval}} \left(\frac{1}{|I|^{2+\alpha}} \int_{Q_I} \omega(z) dV_\alpha(z)\right) \left(\frac{1}{|I|^{2+\alpha}} \int_{Q_I} \omega(z)^{1-q} dV_\alpha(z)\right)^{p-1} < \infty,$$

$pq = p+q$. Observe that if we put $\sigma = \omega^{1-q}$, and use the notaions $|Q_I|_{\omega,\alpha} = \int_{Q_I} \omega dV_\alpha$ and $|Q_I|_\alpha = |Q_I|_{1,\alpha}$, then

$$[\omega]_{B_{p,\alpha}} = \sup_{I\subset\mathbb{R}} \frac{|Q_I|_{\omega,\alpha} |Q_I|_{\sigma,\alpha}^{p-1}}{|Q_I|_\alpha^p}.$$

The following can be observed as in [4]. It says that the boundedness of a Toeplitz product is equivalent to a two-weight problem for the Bergman projection.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, and $-1 < \alpha < \infty$. Then $T_f T_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded on $A_\alpha^p(\mathcal{H})$ if and only if P_α is bounded from $L^p(\mathcal{H}, |g|^{-p} dV_\alpha)$ to $L^p(\mathcal{H}, |f|^p dV_\alpha)$.*

3. Proof of Proposition 1.3

Let us start by the following.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$, $p = q(p-1)$ and $-1 < \alpha < \infty$. Given $f \in A_\alpha^p(\mathcal{H})$ and $g \in A_\alpha^q(\mathcal{H})$, if the product $T_f T_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded and invertible on $A_\alpha^p(\mathcal{H})$, then the two following conditions are equivalent.*

(*i*)

$$\inf_{w\in\mathcal{H}} |f(w)||g(w)| > 0. \tag{17}$$

(*ii*)

$$\sup_{w\in\mathcal{H}} \|fk_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} \|gk_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} < \infty. \tag{18}$$

Proof. We assume that $T_f T_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded and invertible on $A_\alpha^p(\mathcal{H})$, so that its adjoint $(T_f T_{\overline{g}})^* = T_g T_{\overline{f}}$ is bounded and invertible on $A_\alpha^q(\mathcal{H})$. Let us first

observe that the following conditions obviously hold. They are in fact the reproducing kernel formulations alluded in the first section.

$$M_1 := \sup_{w\in\mathcal{H}} \|k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}^{-1}\|T_f T_{\overline{g}} k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} < \infty \tag{19}$$

and

$$M_2 := \sup_{w\in\mathcal{H}} \|k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha}^{-1}\|T_g T_{\overline{f}} k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} < \infty. \tag{20}$$

Next, observing that given $w \in \mathcal{H}$, $T_f T_{\overline{g}} k_w^\alpha = \overline{g(w)} f k_w^\alpha$, we obtain that for any $w \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq \|k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}^{-1}\| \left(T_f T_{\overline{g}}\right)^{-1} \left(\overline{g(w)} f k_w^\alpha\right) \|_{p,\alpha} \\ &\leq \|k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}^{-1}\| \left(T_f T_{\overline{g}}\right)^{-1} \| |g(w)| \|f k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

That is

$$\|k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}^{-1}|g(w)|\|f k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} \geq \frac{1}{\| \left(T_f T_{\overline{g}}\right)^{-1} \|} \tag{21}$$

We also have that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq \|k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha}^{-1}\| \left(T_g T_{\overline{f}}\right)^{-1} \left(\overline{f(w)} g k_w^\alpha\right) \|_{q,\alpha} \\ &\leq \|k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha}^{-1}\| \left(T_g T_{\overline{f}}\right)^{-1} \| |f(w)| \|g k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Which provides that

$$\|k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha}^{-1}|f(w)|\|g k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} \geq \frac{1}{\| \left(T_g T_{\overline{f}}\right)^{-1} \|}. \tag{22}$$

Combining (21) and (22), we see that there are two constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that for any $w \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\frac{c_1}{\| \left(T_f T_{\overline{g}}\right)^{-1} \| \| \left(T_g T_{\overline{f}}\right)^{-1} \|} \leq |f(w)||g(w)|\|g k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha}\|f k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} \leq c_2 M_1 M_2. \tag{23}$$

Now suppose that

$$M = \sup_{w\in\mathcal{H}} \|g k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha}\|f k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} < \infty.$$

Then from the left inequality in (23) we get that for any $w \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$|f(w)||g(w)| \geq \frac{c_1}{M\| \left(T_f T_{\overline{g}}\right)^{-1} \| \| \left(T_g T_{\overline{f}}\right)^{-1} \|} > 0.$$

Hence (17) holds.

Conversely, if $\eta = \inf_{w\in\mathcal{H}} |f(w)||g(w)| > 0$, then the right inequality in (23) provides

$$\|g k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha}\|f k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} \leq \frac{c_2 M_1 M_2}{\eta} < \infty$$

for any $w \in \mathcal{H}$. That is (18) holds. The proof is complete. □

Remark 3.2. *The above result holds in more general settings but appears not to have been presented this way anywhere else as far as we know.*

Proof of Proposition 1.3. We start by observing that if $f \equiv 0$ or $g \equiv 0$, then $T_f T_{\overline{g}} \equiv 0$, and it is bounded on $A^p_\alpha(\mathcal{H})$ but not invertible.

Now let us suppose that $f \in A^p_\alpha(\mathcal{H}) - \{0\}$ and $g \in A^q_\alpha(\mathcal{H}) - \{0\}$ and that the product $T_f T_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded and invertible. Then there are two possibilities,

$$\sup_{w\in\mathcal{H}} \|fk^\alpha_w\|_{p,\alpha}\|gk^\alpha_w\|_{q,\alpha} = \infty \tag{24}$$

or

$$M = \sup_{w\in\mathcal{H}} \|fk^\alpha_w\|_{p,\alpha}\|gk^\alpha_w\|_{q,\alpha} < \infty. \tag{25}$$

Suppose that (25) holds. Then (23) tells us that we have that there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $w \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$C \leq |f(w)||g(w)|. \tag{26}$$

Integrating both sides of (26) with respect to $dV_\alpha(w)$ and applying Hölder's inequality, we obtain that

$$\infty \leq \int_{\mathcal{H}} |f(w)||g(w)|dV_\alpha(w) \leq \|f\|_{p,\alpha}\|g\|_{q,\alpha}$$

which contradicts the hypotheses $f \in A^p_\alpha(\mathcal{H})$ and $g \in A^q_\alpha(\mathcal{H})$.

We conclude that if $T_f T_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded and invertible, then (24) holds. The proof is complete. □

4. Boundedness of the Toeplitz products and weighted inequalities

We will prove here that if one of the symbols satisfies an invariant condition, then the conjecture holds.

As we are also willing to estimate the norm of Toeplitz products, we will need first to estimate the norm of the positive Bergman operator. This estimation was obtained in [16] and we first give a proof here that avoid extrapolation.

Let us recall some notions and notations. We consider the following system of dyadic grids,

$$\mathcal{D}^\beta := \{2^j\left([0,1) + m + (-1)^j\beta\right) : m \in \mathbb{Z},\ \ j \in \mathbb{Z}\},\ \text{ for } \beta \in \{0, 1/3\}.$$

For more on this system of dyadic grids and its applications, we refer to [1, 6, 7, 9, 16]. We also consider the following positive operators introduced by S. Pott and M. C. Reguera in [16]

$$Q^\beta_\alpha f := \sum_{I\in\mathcal{D}^\beta} \langle f, \frac{1_{Q_I}}{|I|^{2+\alpha}}\rangle_\alpha 1_{Q_I}. \tag{27}$$

By comparing the positive kernel

$$K^+_\alpha(z,w) = \frac{1}{|z-w|^{2+\alpha}}$$

and the box-type kernel

$$K^\beta_\alpha(z,w) := \sum_{I\in\mathcal{D}^\beta} \frac{1_{Q_I}(z)1_{Q_I}(w)}{|I|^{2+\alpha}},$$

one obtains the following (see [16] for details).

PROPOSITION 4.1. *There is a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathcal{H})$, $f \geq 0$, and $z \in \mathcal{H}$,*

$$P^+_\alpha f(z) \leq C \sum_{\beta \in \{0,1/3\}} Q^\beta_\alpha f(z). \tag{28}$$

We have the following characterization of the Békollé-Bonami class of weights.

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$, $p = q(p-1)$ and $-1 < \alpha < \infty$. Suppose that $\omega \in B_{p,\alpha}(\mathcal{H})$. Then P_α is bounded on $L^p(\omega dV_\alpha)$. Moreover, $\|P_\alpha\|_{L^p(\omega dV_\alpha)\to L^p(\omega dV_\alpha)} \leq C(p)[\omega]^{\max\{1,\frac{q}{p}\}}_{B_{p,\alpha}}$.*

Proof. Our proof is inspired from the same type of proof for Calderón-Zygmund operators [13]. We start by recalling that given the dyadic grid $\mathcal{D}^\beta$ and a positive weight ω, the dyadic maximal function $M^\beta_{\omega,\alpha}$ is defined for any $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathcal{H})$ by

$$M^\beta_{\omega,\alpha} f = \sup_{I \in \mathcal{D}^\beta} \frac{1_{Q_I}}{|Q_I|_{\omega,\alpha}} \int_{Q_I} |f| \omega dV_\alpha.$$

We observe that using for example techniques in [5], one obtains the following estimate for $1 < p < \infty$

$$\|M^\beta_{\omega,\alpha} f\|_{L^p(\omega dV_\alpha)} \leq C(p) \|f\|_{L^p(\omega dV_\alpha)}. \tag{29}$$

Next recall that given Q_I, its upper-half is

$$T_I := \{x + iy \in \mathcal{H} : x \in I, \text{ and } \frac{|I|}{2} < y < |I|\}.$$

It is clear that the family $\{T_I\}_{I \in \mathcal{D}}$ where $\mathcal{D}$ is a dyadic grid in $\mathbb{R}$ provides a tiling of $\mathcal{H}$.

Now observe that to prove the proposition, it is enough by Proposition 4.1 to prove that the following boundedness holds (with the right estimate of the norm)

$$Q^\beta_\alpha : L^p(\omega dV_\alpha) \to L^p(\omega dV_\alpha), \ \ \beta \in \{0, 1/3\} \tag{30}$$

and also observe the usual fact that the latter is equivalent to the following

$$Q^\beta_\alpha(\sigma \cdot) : L^p(\sigma dV_\alpha) \to L^p(\omega dV_\alpha), \ \ \beta \in \{0, 1/3\}, \ \ \sigma = \omega^{1-q}. \tag{31}$$

Let $f \in L^p(\sigma dV_\alpha)$ and $g \in L^q(\omega dV_\alpha)$ with $f, g > 0$. We aim to estimate

$$\langle Q^\beta_\alpha(\sigma f), g\omega \rangle_\alpha = \int_{\mathcal{H}} Q^\beta_\alpha(\sigma f) g \omega dV_\alpha.$$

We start with the case $p \geq 2$. Clearly, using the notations

$$B_{\sigma,\alpha}(f, Q_I) = \frac{1}{|Q_I|_{\sigma,\alpha}} \int_{Q_I} f \sigma dV_\alpha$$

and

$$B_{\omega,\alpha}(g, Q_I) = \frac{1}{|Q_I|_{\omega,\alpha}} \int_{Q_I} g \omega dV_\alpha,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi &:= \langle Q_\alpha^\beta(\sigma f), g\omega\rangle_\alpha \\
&= \sum_{I\in\mathcal{D}^\beta} \langle \sigma f, 1_{Q_I}\rangle_\alpha \langle \omega g, 1_{Q_I}\rangle_\alpha |Q_I|^{-1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \\
&= \sum_{I\in\mathcal{D}^\beta} B_{\sigma,\alpha}(f,Q_I) B_{\omega,\alpha}(g,Q_I) \frac{|Q_I|_{\sigma,\alpha}|Q_I|_{\omega,\alpha}}{|Q_I|_\alpha} \\
&\leq [\omega]_{B_{p,\alpha}} \sum_{I\in\mathcal{D}^\beta} B_{\sigma,\alpha}(f,Q_I) B_{\omega,\alpha}(g,Q_I) \frac{|Q_I|_{\sigma,\alpha}|Q_I|_{\omega,\alpha}}{|Q_I|_\alpha} \times \frac{|Q_I|_\alpha^p}{|Q_I|_{\sigma,\alpha}^{p-1}|Q_I|_{\omega,\alpha}} \\
&= [\omega]_{B_{p,\alpha}} \sum_{I\in\mathcal{D}^\beta} |Q_I|_\alpha^{p-1}|Q_I|_{\sigma,\alpha}^{2-p} B_{\sigma,\alpha}(f,Q_I) B_{\omega,\alpha}(g,Q_I).
\end{aligned}$$

We observe that $|Q_I|_\alpha \backsimeq |T_I|_\alpha$ and as $T_I \subset Q_I$ and $p \geq 2$, $|Q_I|_{\sigma,\alpha}^{2-p} \lesssim |T_I|_{\sigma,\alpha}^{2-p}$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that

$$|T_I|_\alpha \leq |T_I|_{\sigma,\alpha}^{1/q} |T_I|_{\omega,\alpha}^{1/p}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
|Q_I|_\alpha^{p-1}|Q_I|_{\sigma,\alpha}^{2-p} &\lesssim |T_I|_\alpha^{p-1}|T_I|_{\sigma,\alpha}^{2-p} \\
&\leq |T_I|_{\sigma,\alpha}^{1/p}|T_I|_{\omega,\alpha}^{1/q}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi &:= \langle Q_\alpha^\beta(\sigma f), g\omega\rangle_\alpha \\
&\lesssim [\omega]_{B_{p,\alpha}} \sum_{I\in\mathcal{D}^\beta} |T_I|_{\sigma,\alpha}^{1/p}|T_I|_{\omega,\alpha}^{1/q} B_{\sigma,\alpha}(f,Q_I) B_{\omega,\alpha}(g,Q_I) \\
&\leq [\omega]_{B_{p,\alpha}} \left(\sum_{I\in\mathcal{D}^\beta} |T_I|_{\sigma,\alpha} \left(B_{\sigma,\alpha}(f,Q_I)\right)^p\right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{I\in\mathcal{D}^\beta} |T_I|_{\omega,\alpha} \left(B_{\omega,\alpha}(g,Q_I)\right)^q\right)^{1/q} \\
&= [\omega]_{B_{p,\alpha}} \left(\sum_{I\in\mathcal{D}^\beta} \int_{T_I} \left(B_{\sigma,\alpha}(f,Q_I)\right)^p \sigma dV_\alpha\right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{I\in\mathcal{D}^\beta} \int_{T_I} \left(B_{\omega,\alpha}(g,Q_I)\right)^q \omega dV_\alpha\right)^{1/p'} \\
&\leq [\omega]_{B_{p,\alpha}} \|M_{\sigma,\alpha}^\beta f\|_{L^p(\sigma dV_\alpha)} \|M_{\omega,\alpha}^\beta g\|_{L^q(\omega dV_\alpha)} \\
&\leq C(p)[\omega]_{B_{p,\alpha}} \|f\|_{L^p(\sigma dV_\alpha)} \|g\|_{L^q(\omega dV_\alpha)}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the case $1 < p < 2$, we use the previous and duality. We observe that Q_α^β is self-adjoint with respect to the duality pairing $\langle , \rangle_\alpha$. Hence

$$\|Q_\alpha^\beta\|_{L^p(\omega dV_\alpha)\to L^p(\omega dV_\alpha)} = \|Q_\alpha^\beta\|_{L^q(\sigma dV_\alpha)\to L^q(\sigma dV_\alpha)} \leq C(q)[\sigma]_{B_{q,\alpha}} \leq C(p)[\omega]_{B_{p,\alpha}}^{\frac{1}{p-1}}.$$

The proof is complete. □

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let us start with the sufficient part. We first observe that the condition on f provides in particular that the weight $\omega = |f|^p$ is in $B_{p,\alpha}(\mathcal{H})$. Clearly, for the interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, let Q_I be its associated Carleson

box and w its center. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\infty > [f]_{p,\alpha} &> \left(\int_{\mathcal{H}} |f|^p |k_w^\alpha|^p dV_\alpha\right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{\mathcal{H}} |f|^{-q} |k_w^\alpha|^q dV_\alpha\right)^{1/q} \\ &\geq \left(\int_{Q_I} |f|^p |k_w^\alpha|^p dV_\alpha\right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{Q_I} |f|^{-q} |k_w^\alpha|^q dV_\alpha\right)^{1/q} \\ &\eqsim \left(\frac{1}{|Q_I|_\alpha^{p/2}} \int_{Q_I} |f|^p dV_\alpha\right)^{1/p} \left(\frac{1}{|Q_I|_\alpha^{q/2}} \int_{Q_I} |f|^{-q} dV_\alpha\right)^{1/q} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{|Q_I|_\alpha} \int_{Q_I} \omega dV_\alpha \left(\frac{1}{|Q_I|_\alpha} \int_{Q_I} \omega^{1-q}\right)^{p-1}\right)^{1/p}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence if $\omega = |f|^p$, then

$$[\omega]_{B_{p,\alpha}} \lesssim [f]_{p,\alpha}^p.$$

Next using Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}|T_f T_{\overline{g}} h(z)| &= |f(z)|\, |P_\alpha(\overline{g}h)(z)| \\ &\leq |f(z)| \int_{\mathcal{H}} \frac{|g(w)||h(w)|}{|z-\overline{w}|^{2+\alpha}} dV_\alpha(w) \\ &= |f(z)| \int_{\mathcal{H}} \frac{|g(w)||f(w)||f(w)|^{-1}|h(w)|}{|z-\overline{w}|^{2+\alpha}} dV_\alpha(w) \\ &\leq [f,g]_{p,\alpha} |f(z)| \int_{\mathcal{H}} \frac{|f(w)|^{-1}|h(w)|}{|z-\overline{w}|^{2+\alpha}} dV_\alpha(w).\end{aligned}$$

Hence to prove that $T_f T_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded on $A_\alpha^p(\mathcal{H})$, it is enough to prove that the positive operator

$$h \mapsto |f(z)| \int_{\mathcal{H}} \frac{|f(w)|^{-1} h(w)}{|z-\overline{w}|^{2+\alpha}} dV_\alpha(w), \quad z \in \mathcal{H}$$

is bounded on $L^p(\mathcal{H}, dV_\alpha)$. The boundedness of the latter is equivalent to the boundedness of P_α^+ on $L^p(\mathcal{H}, |f|^p dV_\alpha)$ which holds by Proposition 4.2 since the weight $\omega = |f|^p$ is in the class $B_{p,\alpha}(\mathcal{H})$ and with the right estimate. Thus

$$\|T_f T_{\overline{g}}\| \leq C(p) [\omega]_{B_{p,\alpha}}^{\max\{1, \frac{q}{p}\}} [f,g]_{p,\alpha} \leq C(p) [f,g]_{p,\alpha} [f]_{p,\alpha}^{\max\{p,q\}}.$$

Let us now suppose that $T_f T_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded on $A_\alpha^p(\mathcal{H})$. Then in particular we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha}^{-1} |f(w)| \|g k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} &= \|k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha}^{-1} \|\overline{f(w)} g k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} \\ &= \|k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha}^{-1} \|T_g T_{\overline{f}} k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} \\ &\leq \|T_g T_{\overline{f}}\|.\end{aligned}$$

It follows using Lemma 2.3 again that

$$\begin{aligned}\|f k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} \|g k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} &\eqsim \|k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}^{-1} \|k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha}^{-1} |f(w)||f(w)|^{-1} \|f k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} \|g k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} \\ &\leq C \|T_g T_{\overline{f}}\| \|k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}^{-1} |f(w)|^{-1} \|f k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} \\ &\leq C \|T_g T_{\overline{f}}\| \|k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}^{-1} \|k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha}^{-1} \|f k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} \|f^{-1} k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} \\ &\leq C \|T_g T_{\overline{f}}\| [f]_{p,\alpha} < \infty.\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

5. The case of the tube over the first octant

Let $n \geq 2$, the first octant is the set

$$(0,\infty)^n := \{(y_1,\cdots,y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : y_j > 0,\ j = 1,\cdots,n\}$$

and the tube domain over it is

$$\mathcal{H}^n := \mathbb{R}^n + i(0,\infty)^n = \{z = (z_1,\cdots,z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \Im z_j > 0,\ j = 1,\cdots,n\}.$$

We consider the problems of the previous sections on the tube domain over the first octant.

Given $\alpha = (\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\beta = (\beta_1,\cdots,\beta_n) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, the notation $\alpha < \beta$ (resp. $\alpha = \beta$) means that $\alpha_j < \beta_j$ (resp. $\alpha_j = \beta_j$), $j = 1,\cdots,n$. The notation $\alpha > \beta$ is equivalent to $\beta < \alpha$ and $\alpha \leq \beta$ is equivalent to $\alpha < \beta$ or $\alpha = \beta$. We also use the notations $\mathbf{0} = (0,\cdots,0)$, $-\mathbf{1} = (-1,\cdots,-1)$, and $\underline{\infty} = (\infty,\cdots,\infty)$.

For $\alpha > -\mathbf{1}$ and $1 < p < \infty$, the weighted Bergman space $A^p_\alpha(\mathcal{H}^n)$ consists of all analytic functions f on $\mathcal{H}^n$ such that

$$\|f\|^p_{p,\alpha} := \int_{\mathcal{H}^n} |f(z_1,\cdots,z_n)|^p dV_{\alpha_1}(z_1)\cdots dV_{\alpha_n}(z_n) < \infty. \tag{32}$$

We will be also using the notation

$$dV_\alpha(z) = dV_{\alpha_1}(z_1)\cdots dV_{\alpha_n}(z_n) := y_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots y_n^{\alpha_n} dx_1\cdots dx_n dy_1\cdots dy_n.$$

The Bergman space $A^2_\alpha(\mathcal{H}^n)$ ($-\mathbf{1} < \alpha < \underline{\infty}$) is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space with kernel

$$K^\alpha_w(z) = K^{\alpha_1}(z_1,w_1)\cdots K^{\alpha_n}(z_n,w_n) = \frac{1}{(z_1-\overline{w_1})^{2+\alpha_1}}\cdots\frac{1}{(z_n-\overline{w_n})^{2+\alpha_n}}.$$

That is for any $f \in A^2_\alpha(\mathcal{H}^n)$, the following representation holds

$$f(w) = P_\alpha f(w) = \langle f, K^\alpha_w\rangle_\alpha = \int_{\mathcal{H}^n} f(z)K^\alpha(w,z)dV_\alpha(z). \tag{33}$$

For $f \in L^2(\mathcal{H}^n, dV_\alpha)$, we can densely define the Toeplitz operator T_f with symbol f on $A^2_\alpha(\mathcal{H}^n)$ as in (2). We recall that the normalized reproducing kernel k^α_w ($w = (w_1,\cdots,w_n)$) of $A^2_\alpha(\mathcal{H}^n)$ is given by

$$k^\alpha_w(z) := \frac{K^{\alpha_1}_{w_1}(z_1)}{\|K^{\alpha_1}_{w_1}\|_{2,\alpha_1}}\cdots\frac{K^{\alpha_n}_{w_n}(z_n)}{\|K^{\alpha_2}_{w_n}\|_{2,\alpha_n}}.$$

Let us introduce the class $\mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha}(\mathcal{H}^n)$, $1 < p < \infty$, $\mathbb{R}^n \ni \alpha = (\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_n) > -\mathbf{1}$. We say a positive locally integrable function ω on $\mathcal{H}^n$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha}(\mathcal{H}^n)$ if there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $k \in \{1,\cdots,n\}$,

$$\sup_{\xi=(\xi_1,\cdots,\xi_{k-1},\xi_{k+1},\cdots,\xi_n)\in\mathcal{H}^{n-1}} [\omega(\xi_1,\cdots,\xi_{k-1},\cdot,\xi_{k+1},\cdots,\xi_n)]_{B_{p,\alpha_k}(\mathcal{H})} \leq C. \tag{34}$$

We denote by $[\omega]_{\mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha}(\mathcal{H}^n)}$ the infinimum of the constants C in (34). Note that the class $\mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha}(\mathcal{H}^n)$ is not empty; one easily checks that the weight $\omega = \prod_{j=1}^n \omega_j$ where $\omega_j \in B_{p,\alpha_j}(\mathcal{H})$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha}(\mathcal{H}^n)$.

We next have the following result.

PROPOSITION 5.1. *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$, $p = q(p-1)$ and $-\mathbf{1} < \alpha = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n) < \underline{\infty}$. Suppose that $\omega \in \mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha}(\mathcal{H}^n)$. Then both P_α and P_α^+ are bounded on $L^p(\mathcal{H}^n, \omega dV_\alpha(z))$. Moreover,*

$$\|P_\alpha\|_{L^p(\mathcal{H}^n,\omega dV_\alpha(z))\to L^p(\mathcal{H}^n,\omega dV_\alpha(z))} \leq C(p)[\omega]^{n\times\max\{1,\frac{q}{p}\}}_{\mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha}(\mathcal{H}^n)}$$

and

$$\|P_\alpha\|_{L^p(\mathcal{H}^n,\omega dV_\alpha(z))\to L^p(\mathcal{H}^n,\omega dV_\alpha(z))} \leq C(p)[\omega]^{n\times\max\{1,\frac{q}{p}\}}_{\mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha}(\mathcal{H}^n)}.$$

Proof. Observe that $P_\alpha = P_{\alpha_1}\cdots P_{\alpha_n}$ where P_{α_j} is the one parameter Bergman projection in the j-th variable. It follows using the one parameter estimate of these projections in Proposition 4.2 that for any $f \in L^p(\mathcal{H}^n, \omega dV_\alpha(z))$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|P_\alpha f\|_{L^p(\mathcal{H}^n,\omega dV_\alpha(z))} &= \|P_{\alpha_1}\cdots P_{\alpha_n} f\|_{L^p(\mathcal{H}^n,\omega dV_\alpha(z))}\\
&\leq C[\omega]^{\max\{1,\frac{q}{p}\}}_{\mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha}(\mathcal{H}^n_\alpha)}\|P_{\alpha_2}\cdots P_{\alpha_n} f\|_{L^p(\mathcal{H}^n,\omega dV_\alpha(z))}\\
&\leq C[\omega]^{2\max\{1,\frac{q}{p}\}}_{\mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha}(\mathcal{H}^n_\alpha)}\|P_{\alpha_3}\cdots P_{\alpha_n} f\|_{L^p(\mathcal{H}^n,\omega dV_\alpha(z))}\cdots\\
&\leq C[\omega]^{n\max\{1,\frac{q}{p}\}}_{\mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha}(\mathcal{H}^n_\alpha)}\|f\|_{L^p(\mathcal{H}^n,\omega dV_\alpha(z))}.
\end{aligned}$$

The same observations hold for the positive operator P_α^+. The proof is complete. □

Let us observe also the following.

LEMMA 5.2. *Let $-\mathbf{1} < \alpha < \underline{\infty}$. Assume that $1 < p < \infty$ and put $p = q(p-1)$. If f is analytic on $\mathcal{H}^n$ with*

$$[f]_{p,\alpha} := \sup_{w\in\mathcal{H}^n}\|fk^\alpha_w\|_{p,\alpha}\|f^{-1}k^\alpha_w\|_{q,\alpha} < \infty,$$

then there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $1 \leq k \leq n$, and any $w = (w_1, \cdots, w_{k-1}, w_{k+1}, \cdots, w_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{H}^{n-1}$,

$$\sup_{z\in\mathcal{H}}\|f_w k^{\alpha_k}_z\|_{p,\alpha_k}\|f^{-1}_w k^{\alpha_k}_z\|_{q,\alpha_k} \leq C\sup_{\xi\in\mathcal{H}^n}\|fk^\alpha_\xi\|_{p,\alpha}\|f^{-1}k^\alpha_\xi\|_{q,\alpha},$$

where $f_w(z) = f(\zeta_1, \cdots, \zeta_n)$, $\zeta_j = w_j$ for $j \neq k$ and $\zeta_k = z$.

Proof. We may suppose that $k = 1$. Let $w = (w_1, \cdots, w_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ be given. For any $z = x + iy \in \mathcal{H}$ given, we put $\zeta = (z, w_1, \cdots, w_{n-1})$ and $\tilde{\alpha} = (\alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_n)$. Then using Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f_w k^{\alpha_1}_z\|^p_{p,\alpha_1} &= \int_{\mathcal{H}}|f(u+iv,w)|^p|k^{\alpha_1}_z(u+iv)|^p v^{\alpha_1}dV(u+iv)\\
&= \int_{\mathcal{H}}|f_{u+iv}(w)|^p|k^{\alpha_1}_z(u+iv)|^p v^{\alpha_1}dV(u+iv)\\
&\lesssim \|k^{\tilde{\alpha}}_w\|^{-p}_{p,\tilde{\alpha}}\int_{\mathcal{H}}\|f_{u+iv}k^{\tilde{\alpha}}_w\|^p_{p,\tilde{\alpha}}|k^{\alpha_1}_z(u+iv)|^p v^{\alpha_1}dV(u+iv)\\
&= \|k^{\tilde{\alpha}}_w\|^{-p}_{p,\tilde{\alpha}}\|fk^\alpha_\zeta\|^p_{p,\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the same way, we obtain

$$\|f^{-1}_w k^{\alpha_1}_z\|_{q,\alpha_1} \lesssim \|k^{\tilde{\alpha}}_w\|^{-q}_{q,\tilde{\alpha}}\|f^{-1}k^\alpha_\zeta\|^q_{q,\alpha}.$$

Thus as $\|k_w^{\tilde{\alpha}}\|_{p,\tilde{\alpha}}^{-p}\|k_w^{\tilde{\alpha}}\|_{q,\tilde{\alpha}}^{-q} \backsimeq 1$, we obtain for any $k \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$,

$$\|f_w k_z^{\alpha_k}\|_{p,\alpha_k}\|f_w^{-1}k_z^{\alpha_k}\|_{q,\alpha_k} \lesssim \sup_{\xi\in\mathcal{H}^n} \|fk_\xi^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}\|f^{-1}k_\xi^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha}.$$

The proof is complete. □

We have the following extension of Theorem 1.4 to the multi-parameter case.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $-\mathbf{1} < \alpha < \underline{\infty}$. Assume that $1 < p < \infty$ and put $p = q(p-1)$. Suppose that f and g are analytic in $\mathcal{H}^n$ with*

$$[f]_{p,\alpha} := \sup_{w\in\mathcal{H}^n} \|fk_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}\|f^{-1}k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} < \infty. \tag{35}$$

Then $T_f T_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded on $A_\alpha^p(\mathcal{H}^n)$ if and only if

$$[f,g]_{p,\alpha} := \sup_{w\in\mathcal{H}^n} \|fk_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}\|gk_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} < \infty.$$

Moreover,

$$\|T_f T_{\overline{g}}\| \le C(p)[f,g]_{p,\alpha}[f]_{p,\alpha}^{n\times\max\{p,q\}}$$

and

$$[f,g]_{p,\alpha} \le C(p)\|T_g T_{\overline{f}}\|[f]_{p,\alpha}.$$

Proof. From Lemma 5.2 and the beginning of the proof of Theorem 1.4, we obtain that the condition (35) implies that the weight $\omega = |f|^p$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha}(\mathcal{H}^n)$ with

$$[\omega]_{\mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha}(\mathcal{H}^n)} \lesssim [f]_{p,\alpha}^p.$$

Following the steps of the proof of Theorem 1.4 and using Proposition 5.1 we obtain the result. □

6. Bounded and invertible Toeplitz products on the unit Polydisc of $\mathbb{C}^n$

Before proving Theorem 1.5, let us prove some useful results. We start by the following lemma.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\alpha > -\mathbf{1}$, $1 < p < \infty$, $qp = p + q$, and let $f \in A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}^n)$, $g \in A_\alpha^q(\mathbb{D}^n)$. Then*

$$\|f\|_{p,\alpha}\|g\|_{q,\alpha} \lesssim \|T_f T_{\overline{g}}\|. \tag{36}$$

Proof. Let $f \in A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}^n)$ and $g \in A_\alpha^q(\mathbb{D}^n)$. Consider on $A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}^n)$ the operator $f \otimes g$ defined by

$$(f \otimes g)h = \langle h, g\rangle_\alpha f,$$

for $h \in A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}^n)$. It is easily proved that $f \otimes g$ is bounded on $A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}^n)$ with norm equal to

$$\|f \otimes g\| = \|f\|_{p,\alpha}\|g\|_{q,\alpha}. \tag{37}$$

Next recall that the Berezin transform of an operator S defined on $A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ is given by

$$B_\alpha(S)(w) = \langle Sk_w^\alpha, k_w^\alpha\rangle_\alpha. \tag{38}$$

Observing that $T_{\overline{f}}K_w^\alpha = \overline{f}(w)K_w^\alpha$, we easily obtain

$$B_\alpha(T_f T_{\overline{g}})(w) = f(w)\overline{g}(w). \tag{39}$$

One also proves as in the one parameter case that

$$B_\alpha(f\otimes g)(w)=\langle (f\otimes g)k_w^\alpha, k_w^\alpha\rangle_\alpha=\prod_{j=1}^n (1-|w_j|^2)^{\alpha_j+2}f(w)\overline{g(w)}. \tag{40}$$

Note also that if $(\lambda)_{\alpha_0,k}$ is a sequence defined so that the Taylor expansion of $(1-x)^{2+\alpha_0}$ in a neighborhood of the origin is

$$(1-x)^{2+\alpha_0}=\sum_{k=0}^\infty \lambda_{\alpha_0,k}x^k, \tag{41}$$

then for $\alpha_0>-1$ the series in the right hand side of (41) is absolutely convergent in the closed unit disc of the complex plane. Hence, if $x=(x_1,\cdots,x_n)$ and $\lambda_{\alpha,l}=\lambda_{\alpha_1,l_1}\cdots\lambda_{\alpha_n,l_n}$ we have

$$\prod_{j=1}^n (1-x_j)^{2+\alpha_j}=\sum_{l\in\mathbb{N}^n}\lambda_{\alpha,l}x^l, \tag{42}$$

where $x^l=x_1^{l_1}\cdots x_n^{l_n}$ and the series in (42) is absolutely convergent in the closed unit polydisc.

It follows from (39) that for $t=(t_1,\cdots,t_n)$, the Berezin transform of the product $T_{z^t}T_fT_{\overline{g}}T_{\overline{z}^t}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} B_\alpha\left(T_{z^t}T_fT_{\overline{g}}T_{\overline{z}^t}\right)(w) &= B_\alpha\left(T_{z^t f T_{\overline{gz^t}}}\right)(w)\\ &= w^t f(w)\overline{g(w)w^t}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus using the expansion (42), equation (40) and the injectivity of the Berezin transform, we obtain

$$f\otimes g=\sum_{l\in\mathbb{N}^n}\lambda_{\alpha,l}T_{z^l}T_fT_{\overline{g}}T_{\overline{z^l}}=\sum_{l\in\mathbb{N}^n}\lambda_{\alpha,l}T_{z_1^{l_1}\cdots z_n^{l_n}}T_fT_{\overline{g}}T_{\overline{z_1^{l_1}\cdots z_n^{l_n}}}. \tag{43}$$

It follows that if $s_\alpha=\sum_{l\in\mathbb{N}^n}|\lambda_{\alpha,l}|$, then as $||T_z||=1$, we have

$$||f||_{p,\alpha}||g||_{q,\alpha}=||f\otimes g||\leq s_\alpha||T_fT_{\overline{g}}||$$

for $f\in A^p_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^n)$ and $g\in A^q_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^n)$. The proof is complete. □

We also need the following necessary condition for the boundedeness of the Toeplitz product. It extends the one-parameter result in [11].

PROPOSITION 6.2. *Let $\alpha>-\mathbf{1}$, $1<p<\infty$, $qp=p+q$, and let $f\in A^p_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^n)$, $g\in A^q_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^n)$. If $T_fT_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded on $A^p_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^n)$, then (14) holds. Moreover,*

$$[f,g]_{p,\alpha}\lesssim \|T_fT_{\overline{g}}\|. \tag{44}$$

Proof. The proof is essentially the same as in the one-parameter in [11]. Let us give it here for completeness. We start by recalling that for $a\in\mathbb{D}$, the transform $z\mapsto\varphi_a(z)=\frac{a-z}{1-\overline{a}z}$ is an automorphism of the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$ with inverse $\varphi_a^{-1}=\varphi_a$, and such that $\varphi_a(0)=a$ and $\varphi_a(a)=0$. Now for $a=(a_1,\cdots,a_n)\in\mathbb{D}^n$, the corresponding mapping in the unit polydisc $\mathbb{D}^n$ is the transform $z=(z_1,\cdots,z_n)\in\mathbb{D}^n\mapsto\varphi_a(z)=(\varphi_{a_1}(z_1),\cdots,\varphi_{a_n}(z_n))$. This is an automorphism of $\mathbb{D}^n$ and its real Jacobian is $\prod_{j=1}^n|\varphi'_{a_j}(z_j)|^2$ (see

[19]). It follows that as in the one-parameter case, the change of variables $w = \varphi_a(z)$ works in the polydisc and the following holds:

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}^n} f(\varphi_a(z))dv_\alpha(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}^n} f(z)|k_a^\alpha(z)|^2 dv_\alpha(z). \tag{45}$$

Let us consider the mapping $U_a(h) = (h \circ \varphi_a)\, k_a^\alpha$. It is easy to check that U_a is an isometry of $A^2_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^n)$. Also, U_a is unitary and commutes with the Toeplitz operators in the following sense:

$$U_a T_f = T_{f\circ\varphi_a} U_a, \;\; f \in A^2_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^n) \tag{46}$$

and consequently,

$$T_{\overline{g}} U_a = U_a T_{\overline{g\circ\varphi_a}}$$

(see [19]). As a consequence, one obtains that for any $f_1 \in A^p_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^n)$ and $g_1 \in A^q_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^n)$,

$$T_{f_1\circ\varphi_a} T_{\overline{g_1}\circ\varphi_a} = U_a \left(T_{f_1} T_{\overline{g_1}}\right) U_a. \tag{47}$$

As said above, U_a is an isometry of $A^2_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^n)$. Using (45), one checks that the following operator provides also an isometry of $A^p_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^n)$,

$$U_a^p(h) = (h \circ \varphi_a)\, k_a^\alpha \left(\overline{k_a^\alpha}\right)^{2/p-1}.$$

The following operator was introduced in one-parameter case by J. Miao in [11]:

$$V_a^p(h) = P_\alpha\left(U_a^p(h)\right) = P_\alpha\left((h \circ \varphi_a)\, k_a^\alpha \left(\overline{k_a^\alpha}\right)^{2/p-1}\right).$$

Using the following identity which holds for any $f_2 \in A^p_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^n)$ and any $g_2 \in A^q_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^n)$,

$$T_{\overline{f_2}} P_\alpha(g_2) = P_\alpha(\overline{f_2} g_2), \tag{48}$$

we obtain for any $h \in A^p_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\left(\overline{k_a^\alpha}\right)^{1-2/p}} V_a^p(h) &= P_\alpha\left(\left(\overline{k_a^\alpha}\right)^{1-2/p} (h \circ \varphi_a)\, k_a^\alpha \left(\overline{k_a^\alpha}\right)^{2/p-1}\right) \\ &= (h \circ \varphi_a)\, k_a^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

That is

$$T_{\left(\overline{k_a^\alpha}\right)^{1-2/p}} V_a^p(h) = U_a(h). \tag{49}$$

From (47), we have for any $u \in A^p_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^n)$ and any $v \in A^q_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^n)$,

$$\langle T_{f_1\circ\varphi_a} T_{\overline{g_1}\circ\varphi_a} u, v\rangle_\alpha = \langle T_{\overline{g_1}}\left(U_a(u)\right), T_{\overline{f_1}}(U_a(v))\rangle_\alpha. \tag{50}$$

It follows from (50) and (49) that for any $u \in A^p_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^n)$ and any $v \in A^q_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^n)$,

$$\langle T_{f_1\circ\varphi_a} T_{\overline{g_1}\circ\varphi_a} u, v\rangle_\alpha = \langle T_{\overline{g_1}} T_{\left(\overline{k_a^\alpha}\right)^{1-2/p}} V_a^p(u), T_{\overline{f_1}} T_{\left(\overline{k_a^\alpha}\right)^{1-2/q}} V_a^q(v)\rangle_\alpha. \tag{51}$$

Let us take

$$f_1 = \frac{f}{(k_a^\alpha)^{1-2/q}}, \;\; g_1 = \frac{g}{(k_a^\alpha)^{1-2/p}}.$$

Clearly, f_1 and g_1 are holomorphic and consequently,

$$T_{\overline{f_1}} T_{\left(\overline{k_a^\alpha}\right)^{1-2/q}} = T_{\overline{f_1 (k_a^\alpha)^{1-2/q}}} = T_{\bar{f}}$$

and

$$T_{\overline{g_1}}T_{\left(\overline{k_a^\alpha}\right)^{1-2/p}}=T_{\overline{g_1(k_a^\alpha)^{1-2/p}}}=T_{\overline{g}}.$$

It follows from the Hölder's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}|\langle T_{f_1\circ\varphi_a}T_{\overline{g_1}\circ\varphi_a}u,v\rangle_\alpha| &= |\langle T_fT_{\overline{g}}V_a^p(u),V_a^q(v)\rangle_\alpha|\\ &\leq \|T_fT_{\overline{g}}\|\|V_a^p(u)\|_{p,\alpha}\|V_a^q(v)\|_{q,\alpha}\\ &\leq \|T_fT_{\overline{g}}\|\|U_a^p(u)\|_{p,\alpha}\|U_a^q(v)\|_{q,\alpha}\\ &= \|T_fT_{\overline{g}}\|\|u\|_{p,\alpha}\|v\|_{q,\alpha}\end{aligned}$$

Thus using Lemma 6.1,

$$\|f_1\circ\varphi_a\|_{p,\alpha}\|g_1\circ\varphi_a\|_{q,\alpha}\lesssim\|T_{f_1\circ\varphi_a}T_{\overline{g_1}\circ\varphi_a}\|\leq\|T_fT_{\overline{g}}\|.$$

Next we observe that

$$f_1\circ\varphi_a=(f\circ\varphi_a)(k_a^\alpha\circ\varphi_a)^{-1+\frac{2}{q}}=(f\circ\varphi_a)(k_a^\alpha\circ\varphi_a)^{1-\frac{2}{p}}$$

and consequently using (45) that

$$\|f_1\circ\varphi_a\|_{p,\alpha}=\|fk_a^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}.$$

In the same way, we obtain

$$\|g_1\circ\varphi_a\|_{q,\alpha}=\|gk_a^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha}.$$

We conclude that

$$\|fk_a^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}\|gk_a^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha}\lesssim\|T_fT_{\overline{g}}\|.$$

The proof is complete. □

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Note that if $T_fT_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded on $A^p_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^n)$, then (14) holds by Proposition 6.2. Hence if $T_fT_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded and invertible on $A^p_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^n)$, (14) holds and by Proposition 3.1 and the remark after its proof, this is equivalent to (15).

Before proving assertion *(ii)*, we need to recall some notations and make some remarks about Békollé-Bonami weights on the polydisc. We start by recalling that if $\mathbb{T}$ is the unit circle, the Carleson box associated to an interval $I\subset\mathbb{T}$ is defined by

$$Q_I:\{re^{i\theta}:1-|I|<r<1,\text{ and }e^{i\theta}\in I\}. \tag{52}$$

Békollé-Bonami weights in the unit disc are defined as in section 2 using the Carleson boxes defined by (52), moreover, Proposition 4.2 holds the same in this setting (see also [1]). The class $\mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ is also defined as in the previous section and the result in Lemma 5.2 and Proposition 5.1 hold the same in the unit polydisc.

Now let us suppose that both (14) and (15) are satisfied. We first prove that the weight $\omega=|f|^p$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha}(\mathbb{D}^n)$. Let us observe for that as $\eta=\inf_{z\in\mathbb{D}^n}|f(z)||g(z)|>0$, we have that for any $z\in\mathbb{D}^n$, $|f(z)||g(z)|>\eta$ and consequence, that $|g(z)|>\eta|f(z)|^{-1}$. It follows using the analogue of the estimate (16) for the polydisc that for any $w\in\mathbb{D}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned}[f]_{p,\alpha}:=\|fk_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}\|f^{-1}k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} &= \|fk_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}|f(w)||f(w)|^{-1}\|f^{-1}k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha}\\ &\leq \eta^2\|fk_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}|f(w)||g(w)|\|gk_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha}|\\ &\lesssim \eta^2\left(\|fk_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}\|gk_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha}\right)^2\leq\eta^2[f,g]^2_{p,\alpha}.\end{aligned}$$

That is

$$\sup_{w\in\mathbb{D}^n} \|fk_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}\|f^{-1}k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} < \eta^2[f,g]_{p,\alpha}^2$$

and as in the previous sections, this implies that $\omega = |f|^p$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha}(\mathbb{D}^n)$. Thus as in the last section, we obtain that $T_fT_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded and

$$\|T_fT_{\overline{g}}\| \le C[f,g]_{p,\alpha}[f]_{p,\alpha}^{n\times\max\{p,q\}} \le \eta^{2n\times\max\{p,q\}}[f,g]_{p,\alpha}^{1+2n\times\max\{p,q\}}.$$

To prove that $T_fT_{\overline{g}}$ is invertible, we first observe with the right hand inequality in (23) that there is a constant $c_1 > 0$ such that for any $w \in \mathbb{D}^n$,

$$c_1 \le |f(w)||g(w)|\|fk_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}\|gk_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} \le |f(w)||g(w)|[f,g]_{p,\alpha}.$$

Hence that the function $(f\bar{g})^{-1}$ is bounded on $\mathbb{D}^n$. Thus the Toeplitz operator $T_{(f\bar{g})^{-1}}$ is bounded on $A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}^n)$. Hence, denoting I the identity operator, it follows that

$$T_fT_{\overline{g}}T_{(f\bar{g})^{-1}} = I = T_{(f\bar{g})^{-1}}T_fT_{\overline{g}}.$$

The proof is complete. □

7. Some comments

It seems natural to look for conditions on the symbols that are necessary and sufficient for the associated Toeplitz products to be bounded. The result in Theorem 1.4 is not surprising for specialists in two-weight problems because the Sarason condition (6) is the right substitute of what we can call joint Békollé-Bonami condition, that is defined given two weights σ, ω by

$$[\sigma,\omega]_{B_{p,\alpha}} := \sup_I \frac{|Q_I|_{\omega,\alpha}|Q_I|_{\sigma,\alpha}^{p-1}}{|Q_{I,\alpha}|^p} < \infty. \tag{53}$$

Also, assumption that one of the symbol satisfies an invariant condition comes from the need of having the weight σ in the class $B_{p,\alpha}$ so that the condition (53) becomes sufficient for the boundedness of the Bergman projection from $L^p(\sigma^{-\frac{1}{p-1}})$ to $L^p(\omega)$. This fact is well known in real harmonic analysis.

To finish, let us observe that when $g = 1/f$, the invariant condition $[f]_{p,\alpha} < \infty$ is actually necessary and sufficient and we can even deduce more from what has been proved in the previous sections.

Proposition 7.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $f \in A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ so that $\frac{1}{f} \in A_\alpha^q(\mathbb{D})$, $pq = p + q$. Then the following are equivalent.*

(i) *$T_fT_{1/\bar{f}}$ is bounded on $A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$.*

(ii)
$$\sup_{w\in\mathbb{D}} \|fk_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}\|f^{-1}k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} < \infty.$$

(iii) *If $\omega = |f|^p$ and $\sigma = \omega^{1-q} = |f|^{-q}$, then*
$$\sup_I \frac{|Q_I|_{\omega,\alpha}|Q_I|_{\sigma,\alpha}^{p-1}}{|Q_{I,\alpha}|^p} < \infty.$$

(iv) *P_α^+ is bounded from $L^p(|f|^{-p}d\nu_\alpha)$ to $L^p(|f|^p d\nu_\alpha)$.*

We note that the above proposition also holds in the case of the unit polydisc. That (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is Proposition 6.2. (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) is the beginning of the proof of Theorem 1.4 while (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) is Proposition 4.2. The implication (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i) is Proposition 2.4. There is a more direct proof of the equivalence (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii) that can be found in [8].

References

[1] A. Aleman, S. Pott and M. C. Reguera, Sarason conjecture on Bergman space. arXiv:1304.1750v1.

[2] D. Békollé, Inégalités à poids pour le project de Bergman dans la boule unité de $\mathbb{C}^n$. Studia Math. **71** (1981/82), no. 3, 305323 (French).

[3] D. Békollé, A. Bonami, Inégalit´es à poids pour le noyau de Bergman, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **286** (1978), no. 18, A775A778 (French, with English summary).

[4] D. Cruz-Uribe, The invertibility of the product of unbounded Toeplitz operators, Int.Eq.Op.Th. **20** (1994), no. 2, 231237.

[5] J. Garcia-Cuerva, J. L. Rubio De Francia, Weighted norm inequalities and related topics. North Holland Math. Stud. **116**, North Holland, Amsterdam 1985.

[6] T. Hytönen, M. T. Lacey, C. Pérez, Non-probabilistic proof of the A_2 theo- rem, and sharp weighted bounds for the q-variation of singular integrals (2012), available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1202.2229.

[7] T. Hytönen, Carlos Pérez, Sharp weighted bounds involving A_1.Anal. PDE **6** (4) (2013), 777-818.

[8] J. Isralowitz, Invertible Toeplitz products, weighted inequalities and A_p weights. J.Oper. Theor. **71** (2) (2014), 381-410.

[9] A. Lerner, A simpler proof of the A2 Conjecture. Int. Math. Res. Not. **14** (2013), 3159-3170.

[10] Y. Lu, Z. Sun, Invertible Toeplitz operators products on the Bergman spaces of the polydisc, Journal of Mathematical Research with Applications Sept., 2012, Vol. **32**, No. 5, 543553.

[11] J. Miao, Bounded Toeplitz products on the weighted Bergman spaces of the unit ball, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **346** (2008), 305-313.

[12] M. Michalska, M. Mowak and P. Sobolewski, Bounded Toeplitz and Hankel products on weighted Bergman spaces of the unit Ball, Annales Polonici Mathematici **99** (2010), no. 1, 45-53.

[13] K. Moen, Sharp weighted bounds without testing or extrapolation. Arch. Math. (Basel) **99** (5) (2012), 457-466.

[14] F. Nazarov, A counterexample to Sarason's conjecture, Preprint, www.math.msu.edu/fedja/pepr.html.

[15] S. Pott and E. Strousse, Products of Toeplitz operators on the Bergman spaces A^2_α. Algebra i Analiz **18** (2006), no. 1, 144–161; translation in St. Petersburg Math. J. **18** (2007), no. 1, 105-118.

[16] S. Pott and M. C. Reguera, Sharp Békollé estimates for the Bergman projection. Available as arXiv: 1210.1108v1.

[17] D. Sarason, Products of Toeplitz operators, in: Linear and complex analysis. Problem book 3, Part I. Edited by V. P. Havin and N. K. Nikolski, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1573. Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1994.

[18] K. Stroethoff, A. D. Zheng, Products of Hankel and Toeplitz operators on the Bergman space. J. Funct. Anal. **169** (1999), no. 1, 289-313.

[19] K. Stroethoff, A. D. Zheng, Bounded Toeplitz products on the Bergman space of the polydisk. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **278** (2003), no. 1, 125-135.

[20] K. Stroethoff, A. D. Zheng, Bounded Toeplitz products on Bergman spaces of the unit ball. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **325** (2007), no. 1, 114-129.

[21] K. Stroethoff, A. D. Zheng, Bounded Toeplitz products on weighted Bergman spaces. J. Operator Theory **59** (2008), no. 2, 277-308.

Benoît Florent Sehba, Department of Mathematics, University of Ghana, PO. Box LG 62 Legon, Accra Ghana.
E-mail address: bfsehba@ug.edu.gh